## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

TRAVIS LEMARR GREER,	)
Plaintiff,	)
VS.	) Case No. CIV-14-708-M
JANET DOWLING, et al.,	)
Defendants.	)

## **ORDER**

On June 22, 2017, United States Magistrate Judge Charles B. Goodwin issued a Report and Recommendation in this federal civil rights action, alleging violations of the United States Constitution and Oklahoma state law. The Magistrate Judge recommended: (1) that defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment be granted in its entirety as to plaintiff's federal constitutional claims, with the exception of plaintiff's request for retrospective declaratory relief, which should be dismissed without prejudice; (2) that the Court decline to exercise supplemental jurisdiction over plaintiff's state-law claim; (3) that plaintiff's Third and Fourth Motions for Injunctive Relief be denied; and (4) that plaintiff's unauthorized surreply opposing defendant's motion for summary judgment should be stricken. The parties were advised of their right to object to the Report and Recommendation by July 13, 2017. Although plaintiff received a number of extensions of time, plaintiff has not filed an objection to the Report and Recommendation by the extended deadline. I

Having carefully reviewed this matter <u>de novo</u>, the Court:

(1) ADOPTS the thorough and well-reasoned Report and Recommendation [docket no. 173] issued by the Magistrate Judge on June 22, 2017;

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> On February 27, 2018, plaintiff filed a Judicial Notice. The Judicial Notice, however, does not address the merits of the Report and Recommendation.

- (2) STRIKES plaintiff's surreply opposing defendant's motion for summary judgment [docket no. 170];
- (3) GRANTS defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment [docket no. 142] as to plaintiff's federal constitutional claims, with the exception of plaintiff's request for retrospective declaratory relief;
- (4) DISMISSES without prejudice plaintiff's request for retrospective declaratory relief;
- (5) DECLINES to exercise supplemental jurisdiction over plaintiff's state-law claim; and
- (6) DENIES plaintiff's Third and Fourth Motions for Injunctive Relief [docket nos. 163 and 164).

IT IS SO ORDERED this 14th day of March, 2018.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE